UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,794	09/05/2001	Gerhardus Sjoerd Jozef Haak	110510	4100	
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 04/20/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3208	350	SIEFKE, SAMUEL P			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/914,794	HAAK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SAM P. SIEFKE	1797		

	SAM P. SIEFKE	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		<del>-</del>	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,.	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	scied ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		(	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7 and 31-35</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before an another date of filling a Ni	-tif Ammaalill mat	ha antanad
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Samuel P Siefke/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797		

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues, "During the interview, Applicants argued that the column temperature increase of 10 C/min in Example 5 of Jinno is directed to a gas chromatography (GC) process for a GC separation column, and not a solid phase extraction (SPE) column. In contrast, one would further understand that Examples 1-4 of Jinno are directed to a SPE process, and Jinno (either by the Examples or broad disclosure) does not describe increasing the temperature of a solid- phase extraction column at a rate of 5 C/min, as recited in claim 1."

Claim 1 states that heating with the use of a heating device having a heat capacity... this is not a positive method step that is being recited. This limitation states that a heating device is used that is capable of performing at the specific rate and temperature. The Applicant needs to amend the claim to recite, "wherein the heating of the one or more liquids used in steps a) to d) comprises heating the one or more liquids used in steps a) to d) from 20 degrees C to 100 degrees C with a flow rate of 1 ml/min of liquid in 10 seconds or less.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees and argues the same comments in the interview that were discussed. It is well known in the art that during an elution separation phase of a sample raising the temperature in a controlled and ramped manner provides the above outcome. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the modified Klem to allow for increasing the column temperature in a controlled manner at 10 degrees Celsius/min to allow for complete separation on a provided column.